	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/754,347	GELBER ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean C. Witz	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>	
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>RCE filed 6/30/03</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>116,135 and 139-142</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	r.		
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)</li> <li>a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Application No		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application.	complying with the requirements	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	st be submitted.		
(a) $\square$ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-	948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	•		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in (			
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal F	atent Application (PTO-152)	
2.  Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		6. ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413),	
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/N Paper No./Mail Date		Paper No./Mail Date 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendment/Comment	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's Stateme 9. □ Other	ent of Reasons for Allowance	
		Jean C. Witz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651	

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The species of the second nutraceutical are a bioflavonoid or plant extract containing same, ascorbic acid and pharmaceutically effective salts and derivatives thereof, garlic and extracts thereof, green tea and extracts thereof, Astragalus, zinc and effective salts thereof, Echinacea, Goldenseal and milk thistle or an extract thereof.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 116 –118 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1651

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with Thomas Powers on March 1, 2004, an election was made without traverse to prosecute the species of Goldenseal.

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Thomas Powers on March 2, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

Art Unit: 1651

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 116 has been amended to read:

116. A medicinal composition for treating at least one ailment or symptom caused by an immune response consisting of:

a pharmaceutical, wherein said pharmaceutical is an antihistamine, alone or in combination with a decongestant;

a first nutraceutical, said first nutraceutical consisting of an anti-inflammatory agent, said anti-inflammatory agent being stinging nettle or an extract thereof;

a second nutraceutical selected from the group consisting of Goldenseal,

Astragalus, and mixtures thereof; and

a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Claims 119-134 have been cancelled.

Claim 135 has been amended to read:

135. The medicinal composition of claim 116 wherein the second nutraceutical is Astragalus.

Claims 136-138 have been cancelled.

Claim 139 has been amended to read:

139. The medicinal composition of claim 116 wherein the second nutraceutical is Goldenseal.

Claims 140-142 have been amended to read:

140. The medicinal composition of claim 116, wherein the medicinal composition contains 50 to 1000 mg of powdered stinging nettle.

Art Unit: 1651

- 141. The medicinal composition of claim 135, wherein the medicinal composition contains 50 to 1000 mg of powdered stinging nettle.
- 142. The medicinal composition of claim 139, wherein the medicinal composition contains 50 to 1000 mg of powdered stinging nettle.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Upon election of the species of Goldenseal, a search of the prior art provided no disclosure and therefore no motivation to include Goldenseal with a composition consisting of an antihistamine, optionally in combination with a decongestant, and stinging nettle or an extract thereof. The next species searched was Astragalus, and again, no disclosure and therefore no motivation was found to include Astragalus in a composition consisting of an antihistamine, optionally in combination with a decongestant, and stinging nettle or an extract thereof. However, a search of the species zinc and effective salts thereof resulted in a prima facie case of obviousness for the following reasons.

Prior art references of record (Wiersma (5,948,414), Hamel et al. (4,662,880), Ayer et al. (4,810,502) and Weinstein (6,270,796)) all disclose multiple variations and combinations of conventional anti-histamines and conventional decongestants for treatment of rhinitis from allergies and colds. These references are essentially duplicative. Newly cited reference to Mittman discloses that stinging nettle is conventionally included in a composition for treatment of allergic rhinitis. Prior art

7/// Control ( tallicon: 05// 01/)

Art Unit: 1651

reference to Bryce-Smith teaches that zinc is a simple, cheap and efficient treatment for an allergic condition. See the abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine stinging nettle and zinc with other conventional allergy treating drugs including other antihistamines as well as conventional decongestants. "It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0927. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner